By Cde. Fidel Castro Ruz

In contrast with what is happening in Egypt and Tunisia, Libya occupies the first spot on the Human Development Index for Africa and it has the highest life expectancy on the continent. Education and health receive special attention from the government.

The cultural level of its population is without a doubt the highest. Its problems are of a different sort. The population wasn’t lacking food and essential social services. The country needed an abundant foreign labour force to carry out ambitious plans for production and social development.

For that reason, it provided jobs for hundreds of thousands of workers from Egypt, Tunisia, China and other countries. It had enormous incomes and reserves in forex and external resources deposited in the banks of the wealthy countries from which they acquired consumer goods and even sophisticated weapons that were supplied exactly by the same countries that today want to invade it in the name of human rights.

The colonial capitalism of lies, unashamedly supported by the US, continues, resulted in great confusion in world public opinion. Some time will go by before we can reconstruct what has really happened in Libya, and separate the real facts from the fake ones that have been spread.

Serious and prestigious broadcasting companies such as Al-Arabiya, the Al Jazeera network and many others, all accused the Libyan people of planning aellenist revolution. The state stated in words that admit the possibility that Gaddafi could last a long time, that they were rejecting all foreign military intervention.

Various news agencies informed that Abdelhafiz Ghoga, spokesperson for the Committee for the Revolution stated on Monday the 29th that “The rest of Libya shall be liber- ated by its people.”

“Are we counting on the army to liberate Tripoli?” asked Ghoga during the announcement of the formation of a military intervention to no throw the present state of the country in the hands of the insurgents.”

“‘Next week, we are announcing a joint military intervention that shall occur in the United Nations framework, this would not imply intervention, but it explains the council’s spokesperson, quoted by The New York Times.’

“The council is made up of legal experts, religions, judges and prominent members of Libyan society.”

The dispatch states: “‘The Washington Post’ quotes rebel acknowledging that, without Western backing, combat with the forces loyal to Gaddafi could last a long time and cost many human lives.’

It is noteworthy that in that regard, not one single worker, peasant or builder is mentioned, not anyone related to material production or any young student or any person of the masses among those who take part in the demonstrations. Why the effort to present the rebels as prominent members of society under a new regime? The real fact is that Libya is now trapped in a civil war, as we had foreseen, and the United Nations could do nothing about it, other than its own Secretary General sprinkling the fire with a goodly dose of fake.

The problem that perhaps the actors were not imagining is that the very leaders of the rebellion were bursting into the complicated matter declaring that they were rejecting all foreign military intervention.

In spite of the flood of lies and the confusion that was cre- ated, the US could not drag China and the Russian Federation to the approval by the Security Council for a military intervention in Libya, even though it managed to obtain however, in the Human Rights Council, approval of the objectives it was seeking at that mo- ment. In regards to a military intervention, the Secretary of State stated in words that admit not the slightest doubt: “no op-

Military authorities here have suspected the deliberate interr- uption was intended to mess up the ongoing joint military drills between South Korea and the United States, which the DPRK considers as preparation for a nuclear war.

The DPRK has yet to offi- cially mention the issue.

The fascist “concession accomplished” group, now backed ideologically by the extremists of the Tea Party, re- duced the possibilities of the current president to a merely decorative role in which even his health program and the dubious economic recovery were in danger as a result of the budget deficit and the uncon- trollable growth of the public debt which were breaking all historical records.

In the event that air actions are carried out within the United Nations framework, this would not imply interven- tion, but it explains the council’s spokesperson, quoted by The New York Times.’
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